

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/826,843  | 04/15/2004      | Robert T. Lyons      | 17684 (AP)          | 2070            |
| 51957   | 7590 06/29/2006 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| ALLERGAN, INC., LEGAL DEPARTMENT                  |                 |                      | FAY, ZOHREH A       |                 |
| 2525 DUPONT DRIVE, T2-7H<br>IRVINE, CA 92612-1599 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |                 |                      | 1618                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | A 41 44 1   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summan   | 10/826,843   | LYONS ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Zohreh A. Fay  | 1618  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | 1.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | -·<br>action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <del>'=</del>  | , <del> _</del>  |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  | - ' '  | ···   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |  | • •   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | -(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>  | *  | ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | of the certified copies not receive  | d.  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P  | ate atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/826,843 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1618** 

Claims 1-27 are presented for examination.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-15 and 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Guy (U.S. Patent 5,576311).

Guy teaches the use of the claimed cyclodextrin derivatives such as hydroxyl propyly cyclodextrin in combination with corticoid such as prednisolone acetate in an ophthalmic/pharmaceutical formulation for the treatment of ophthalmic disorders. See column 1, lines 38-65, column 2, line 55, column 3, lines 1-10, column 3, lines 30-37, column 4, lines 1-15.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1618

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guy (U.S. Patent 5,576,311) in view of Loftsson (U.S. Patent 5,472,954).

Guy teaches the use of the claim-designated cyclodextrin such as hydroxy propyl cyclodextrin in combination with corticosteroids, such as prednisolone or prednisolone acetate in a pharmaceutical formulation for the treatment of ophthalmic disorders. See column 1, lines 38-65, column 2, line 55, column 3, lines 1-10, column 3, lines 30-37, column 4, lines 1-15. The above reference differs from the claimed invention in the presence of hydroxypropylmethylcellulose. Loftsson et al. teach the use of the claimed designated cyclodextrin in combination with hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and steroids in an ophthalmic formulation. See claims 74, 76, 83 and 94. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to incorporate a hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose into the teaching of the primary reference, considering that the secondary reference teaches the addition of hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose to the claimed cyclodextrin as old and well known.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,843 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above reference, since one relates to the use of hydroxypropyl cyclodextrin in combination with corticosteroids and a polymer in an ophthalmic formulation for the treatment of ophthalmic disorders, and the other relates to the addition of hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose to the claimed cyclodextrin in an ophthalmic formulation as old. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 16-18 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,843 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Z.F

ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600 144511 11